

# On a Conjecture Concerning Monotonicity of Zeros of Ultraspherical Polynomials\*

DIMITAR K. DIMITROV

*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Rousse, 7017 Rousse, Bulgaria*

*Communicated by Alphonse P. Magnus*

Received March 31, 1994; accepted in revised form February 22, 1995

Let  $C_n^\lambda$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$  be the ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) polynomials, orthogonal on  $(-1, 1)$  with respect to the weight  $(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2}$ . Denote by  $\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$ ,  $k=1, \dots, [n/2]$  the positive zeros of  $C_n^\lambda$  enumerated in decreasing order. The problem of finding the “extremal” function  $f$  for which the products  $f(\lambda)\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  is of recent interest. Ismail, Letessier, and Askey conjectured that  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda+1)^{1/2}$  is the function to solve this problem. We prove the conjecture for sufficiently large  $n$  and some related results. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The monotonic behaviour of the zeros  $\{\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)\}$  of ultraspherical polynomials is a question of interest both from a mathematical and physical point of view because  $\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n$  are the positions of equilibrium of  $n$  unit charges in  $(-1, 1)$  in the field generated by two charges located at  $-1$  and  $1$  whose common value is  $\lambda/2 + 1/4$  [12, pp. 140–142]. A well known result of Stieltjes [11; 12, Theorem 6.21.1] asserts that for any fixed  $n \geq 2$  and  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$  the positive zeros  $\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  of  $C_n^\lambda$  are decreasing functions of  $\lambda$ . It is important to know which is the extremal function  $f$  forcing  $f(\lambda)\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  to increase with  $\lambda$ . In order to answer this question we need a formal definition for extremality. Ahmed, Muldoon and Spigler [1, Remark 4] suggested considering the problem in its greatest generality, namely, for any admissible  $n$  and  $k$ , to seek a function  $f_{n,k}(\lambda)$  for which  $Z_{n,k}(\lambda) := f_{n,k}(\lambda)\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is increasing function of  $\lambda$ . Natural requirements are that  $f_{n,k}$  be positive and differentiable. Since

$$0 \leq Z'_{n,k}(\lambda) = f'_{n,k}(\lambda)\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda) + f_{n,k}(\lambda)\zeta'_{n,k}(\lambda),$$

\* This research is supported by the Royal Society Postdoctoral Fellowship Programme and the Bulgarian Ministry of Science under Grant MM-414.

$\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $f_{n,k}(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\zeta'_{n,k}(\lambda) < 0$  then

$$f'_{n,k}(\lambda)/f_{n,k}(\lambda) \geq -\zeta'_{n,k}(\lambda)/\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda > -1/2. \quad (1)$$

Thus we state the following problem:

(P1) For any fixed  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ , determine the function  $f_{n,k}$ , positive for  $\lambda > -1/2$ , for which the products  $Z_{n,k}$  are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  and  $f'_{n,k}(\lambda)/f_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is minimal.

It is easily seen from (1) that P1 is equivalent to the problem of finding  $\zeta'_{n,k}(\lambda)/\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$ . If instead, we seek a function  $f$  which depends on  $n$  but not on  $k$ , then P1 can be reformulated as

(P2) For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , determine the function  $f_n(\lambda)$ , positive for  $\lambda > -1/2$ , such that for each  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$  the products  $f_n(\lambda) \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  and  $f'_n(\lambda)/f_n(\lambda)$  is minimal.

If we are interested in a universal function the problem is

(P3) Which is the function  $f$ , positive for  $\lambda > -1/2$ , such that for any fixed  $n \geq 2$  and  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ , the products  $f(\lambda) \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  and  $f'(\lambda)/f(\lambda)$  is minimal?

This latter problem is the precise formulation of the problem posed in the abstract. The notion "extremal" for the above stated problems is equivalent to the minimality of the quotient  $f'_{n,k}/f_{n,k}$ ,  $f'_n/f_n$  and  $f'/f$ , respectively. Obviously this requirement determines the unknown function up to a constant factor. Moreover, if we find the solutions  $f_{n,k}$  of the most general problem P1 then the solutions  $f_n$  of P2 and  $f$  of P3 could be consequently derived from

$$f'_n(\lambda)/f_n(\lambda) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq [n/2]} f'_{n,k}(\lambda)/f_{n,k}(\lambda),$$

and

$$f'(\lambda)/f(\lambda) = \sup_{n \geq 2} f'_n(\lambda)/f_n(\lambda).$$

Therefore in order to solve these problems we need upper bounds for  $-\zeta'_{n,k}/\zeta_{n,k}$ .

There have been many attempts to solve P2 and P3. Laforgia [7] proved that each  $\lambda \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is an increasing function of  $\lambda$  for  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ . Ahmed, Muldoon and Spigler [1] refined a previous result of Spigler [10] to prove that if  $n \geq 2$  and  $f_n(\lambda) = (2\lambda(2n+1) + 2n^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ , then each  $f_n(\lambda) \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  increases with  $\lambda$  for  $-1/2 < \lambda < 3/2$ . Ismail and Letessier [5]

conjectured that  $\lambda^{1/2}\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  increases with  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ , and Askey observed that  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)^{1/2}$  could be a solution of P3. Askey's suggestion was formulated as Conjecture 3 in [4]. In what follows we shall refer to this as *Ismail–Letessier–Askey* conjecture (ILAC). Note that if one proves that each  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is an increasing function of  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$  then  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)^{1/2}$  will be the solution of P3 because  $\zeta_{2,1} = (2(\lambda + 1))^{-1/2}$ . It follows immediately from [1, Eq. (3.7)] that ILAC is true for  $-1/2 < \lambda \leq 3/2$ .

The purpose of this paper is to establish some monotonicity results concerning multiples of positive zeros of  $C_n^\lambda$ . First we prove ILAC for sufficiently large  $n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $v$  be a nonnegative integer. Then for any  $n > 1 + (v^2 + 3v + 3/2)^{1/2}$  and  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$  the products  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}\zeta_{n,k}(k)$  are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  for  $-1/2 < \lambda \leq 3/2 + v$ .*

This result verifies ILAC for an interval with length  $v + 2$  if  $n > v + 5/2$ . On the other hand direct calculations show that the conjecture is true if  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ . Then one easily gets

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $n > 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$  then  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is an increasing function of  $\lambda$  for  $-1/2 < \lambda \leq 9/2$ .*

A result which holds for  $\lambda \geq 1/2$  follows.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\lambda \geq 1/2$ . Then for any admissible  $n$  and  $k$  the function*

$$(\lambda - 1/2)^{1/2}\zeta_{n,k}(\lambda) \tag{2}$$

*increases as  $\lambda$  increases.*

Despite the fact that the function  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda - 1/2)^{1/2}$  is not the extremal one, it is a universal function which forces the products (2) to increase on  $[1/2, \infty)$ .

The next theorem concerns the monotonic behaviour of the largest zero of  $C_n^\lambda$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\lambda > -1/2$ . Then*

(i) *for any fixed even  $n$*

$$(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}\zeta_{n,1}(\lambda)$$

*is an increasing function of  $\lambda$ .*

(ii) for any fixed odd  $n \geq 3$

$$(\lambda + 2)^{1/2} \zeta_{n,1}(\lambda)$$

is an increasing function of  $\lambda$ .

The function  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + 2)^{1/2}$  is the extremal one to set in (ii) because  $\zeta_{3,1} = (2(\lambda + 2)/3)^{-1/2}$ . It seems that Theorem 3 holds not only for the largest zeros of  $C_n^\lambda$  but for all the positive zeros. Thus ILAC could be refined by setting  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}$  for  $n$  even and  $(\lambda + 2)^{1/2}$  for  $n$  odd as extremal functions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Denote by  $g_n^\lambda$  and  $h_n^\lambda$  the hypergeometric polynomials of degree  $n$

$$g_n^\lambda(x) := {}_2F_1(-n, n + \lambda; 1/2; x)$$

and

$$h_n^\lambda(x) := {}_2F_1(-n, n + \lambda + 1; 3/2; x).$$

It is well known that  $C_{2n}^\lambda(x^{1/2})$  and  $x^{-1/2}C_{2n+1}^\lambda(x^{1/2})$  are constant multiples of  $g_n^\lambda(x)$  and  $h_n^\lambda(x)$ , respectively (see [12, Section 4.7]). This fact and some simple computations show that  $g_n^\lambda$  and  $h_n^\lambda$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  are orthogonal polynomial sequences on  $(0, 1)$  with respect to the weight functions  $\omega_e(x) = x^{-1/2}(1-x)^{\lambda-1/2}$  and  $\omega_o(x) = x^{1/2}(1-x)^{\lambda-1/2}$ , respectively. Another simple consequence is that the zeros of  $g_n^\lambda$  are  $\zeta_{2n,k}^2(\lambda)$  and those of  $h_n^\lambda$  are  $\zeta_{2n+1,k}^2(\lambda)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . On using (28) and (29) in [2, p. 103] we obtain the following recurrence relations for  $g_n^\lambda$  and  $h_n^\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^\lambda(x) &= 1 \\ g_1^\lambda(x) &= 1 - 2(\lambda + 1)x \\ -xg_n^\lambda(x) &= \frac{(2n+1)(n+\lambda)}{2(2n+\lambda)(2n+\lambda+1)} g_{n+1}^\lambda(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{4n^2 + 4\lambda n + \lambda - 1}{2(2n+\lambda-1)(2n+\lambda+1)} g_n^\lambda(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{n(2n+2\lambda-1)}{2(2n+\lambda)(2n+\lambda-1)} g_{n-1}^\lambda(x), \quad (3) \\ h_0^\lambda(x) &= 1 \\ h_1^\lambda(x) &= 1 - 2(\lambda + 2)x/3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-xh_n^\lambda(x) &= \frac{(2n+3)(n+\lambda+1)}{2(2n+\lambda+1)(2n+\lambda+2)} h_{n+1}^\lambda(x) \\
&\quad - \frac{4n^2+4(\lambda+1)n+3\lambda}{2(2n+\lambda)(2n+\lambda+2)} h_n^\lambda(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{n(2n+2\lambda-1)}{2(2n+\lambda)(2n+\lambda+1)} h_{n-1}^\lambda(x). \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $\{g_n^\lambda\}$  and  $\{h_n^\lambda\}$  are sequences of birth and death process polynomials. This notion is due to Karlin and McGregor [6]. Every sequence of parametric birth and death process polynomials  $Q_n(x; \tau)$  is defined by

$$Q_1(x; \tau) = 1,$$

$$Q_1(x; \tau) = [b_0(\tau) + d_0(\tau) - x]/b_0(\tau),$$

$$-xQ_n(x; \tau) = b_n(\tau) Q_{n+1}(x; \tau) - (b_n(\tau) + d_n(\tau)) Q_n(x; \tau) + d_n(\tau) Q_{n-1}(x; \tau),$$

where  $b_n(\tau) > 0$ ,  $d_{n+1}(\tau) > 0$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $d_0(\tau) \geq 0$ . The coefficients  $b_n(\tau)$  and  $d_n(\tau)$  are called *birth rates* and *death rates*, respectively. Ismail [3, 4] pointed out that if the birth rates  $b_n(\tau)$  and the death rates  $d_n(\tau)$  are increasing functions of  $\tau$ , then the largest zero of  $Q_n(x; \tau)$  is also an increasing function of  $\tau$ .

We shall prove two simple lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $q$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 3$  with distinct real zeros. Suppose that  $q$  is even (odd) if  $n$  is even (odd). Then every positive zero of  $q'$  is an increasing function of any positive zero of  $q$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the lemma for even  $n$ . The proof for odd  $n$  is similar. Assume without loss of generality that  $q$  is a monic polynomial. Since it is even and has only real zeros then  $q(x) = (x^2 - x_1^2) \cdots (x^2 - x_{n/2}^2)$ ,  $0 < x_{n/2} < \cdots < x_1$ . Suppose that  $\xi = x_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ . Then  $q(x) = (x^2 - \xi^2) r(x)$ . The polynomial  $q'$  is odd and by Rolle's theorem it has  $n/2 - 1$  positive zeros  $\eta_j$ ,  $x_{j+1} < \eta_j < x_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$ . Let  $\eta$  be one of these zeros, say  $\eta = \eta_m$ . We claim that  $\eta$  is an increasing function of  $\xi$ . Let  $q_\varepsilon(x) := (x^2 - (\xi + \varepsilon)^2) r(x)$  for some positive  $\varepsilon$ . It follows immediately from  $q'(\eta) = 0$  that

$$r'(\eta) = -2\eta r(\eta)/(\eta^2 - \xi^2). \tag{5}$$

On the other hand  $q'_\varepsilon(\eta) = 2\eta r(\eta) + (\eta^2 - (\xi + \varepsilon)^2) r'(\eta)$ . On using (5) we obtain

$$q'_\varepsilon(\eta) = 2\eta((\xi + \varepsilon)^2 - \xi^2) r(\eta)/(\eta^2 - \xi^2).$$

Therefore  $\text{sign } q'_\varepsilon(\eta) = \text{sign}(r(\eta)/(\eta^2 - \xi^2))$ . It is not difficult to see that if  $\eta > \xi$  then  $\text{sign } r(\eta) = (-1)^m = \text{sign } q(\eta)$ . Similarly if  $\eta < \xi$  then  $\text{sign } r(\eta) = (-1)^m = -\text{sign } q(\eta)$ . Hence

$$\text{sign } q'_\varepsilon(\eta) = \text{sign } q(\eta) = (-1)^m. \quad (6)$$

Denote by  $x_i(\varepsilon)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n/2$  the positive zeros of  $q_\varepsilon$ . By Rolle's theorem  $q'_\varepsilon$  has exactly one zero  $\eta(\varepsilon) \in (x_{m+1}(\varepsilon), x_m(\varepsilon))$ . On the other hand  $\text{sign } q'_\varepsilon(x_{m+1}(\varepsilon)) = (-1)^m$  and  $\text{sign } q'_\varepsilon(x_m(\varepsilon)) = (-1)^{m+1}$ . This fact and (6) give  $\eta(\varepsilon) > \eta$ .

Lemma 1 is a slight extension of a classical result of V. Markov [8]. It states that if  $p$  and  $q$  are polynomials of degree  $n$  whose zeros are real and interlace then the zeros of  $p'$  and  $q'$  interlace in the same way as those of  $p$  and  $q$ . V. Markov's result is formulated and proved as Lemma 2.7.1 in [9].

The repeated application of Lemma 1 yields

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $q$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 3$  with distinct real zeros. Suppose that  $q$  is even (odd) if  $n$  is even (odd). Then every positive zero of  $q^{(v)}$ ,  $0 < v < n - 1$  is an increasing function of any positive zero of  $q$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\omega(x)$  be a positive and continuous weight function on the interval  $(a, b)$ . Denote by  $\{p_n(x; \beta)\}$  the polynomials orthogonal with respect to  $\omega$  on the interval  $(a, \beta)$ ,  $a < \beta \leq b$ . Then the zeros of  $p_n(x; \beta)$  are increasing functions of  $\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1(\beta), \dots, x_n(\beta)$  be the zeros of  $p_n(x; \beta)$ . They are uniquely implicitly determined by the equations

$$\int_a^\beta \frac{p_n^2(x; \beta)}{x - x_i(\beta)} \omega(x) dx = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Differentiating the  $k$ th equation with respect to  $\beta$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \sum_{i \neq k} \int_a^\beta \frac{p_n^2(x; \beta)}{(x - x_i(\beta))(x - x_k(\beta))} \omega(x) dx x'_i(\beta) \\ & - \int_a^\beta \frac{p_n^2(x; \beta)}{(x - x_k(\beta))^2} \omega(x) dx x'_k(\beta) \\ & + \omega(\beta) p_n^2(\beta; \beta)/(\beta - x_k(\beta)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

All integrals in the sum above vanish because of the orthogonality. Therefore

$$x'_k(\beta) = \omega(\beta) p_n^2(\beta; \beta)(\beta - x_k(\beta))^{-1} \left/ \int_a^\beta \frac{p_n^2(x; \beta)}{(x - x_k(\beta))^2} \omega(x) dx \right. > 0.$$

### 3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We use induction with respect to  $v$ . For  $v=0$  the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1 in [1]. Recall that it states that if  $n \geq 2$  then

$$(\lambda + (2n^2 + 1)/(4n + 2))^{1/2} \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$$

increases with  $\lambda$  for  $-1/2 < \lambda \leq 3/2$ . Since  $(2n^2 + 1)/(4n + 2) \geq 1$  for  $n \geq (3/2)^{1/2}$  then our theorem is true for  $v=0$ . Let  $v$  be any fixed positive integer and assume that the assertion holds for all  $v' < v$ . We shall prove it for  $v$ . Since  $n \geq 1 + (v^2 + 3v + 3/2)^{1/2} > 1 + ((v-1)^2 + 3(v-1) + 3/2)^{1/2}$  then by the induction hypothesis the functions  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2} \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  increase with  $\lambda$  for  $-1/2 < \lambda \leq v + 1/2$ . It remains to show that they increase for  $\lambda \in (v + 1/2, v + 3/2]$ . The above mentioned result of Ahmed, Muldoon and Spigler is equivalent to the fact that the positive zeros of  $C_N^\mu((\mu + (2N^2 + 1)/(4N + 2))^{-1/2} x)$  increase for  $\mu \in [-1/2, 3/2]$ . Substituting  $\mu = \lambda - v$ ,  $N = n + v$  we derive the following statement.

*The positive zeros of*

$$C_{n+v}^{\lambda-v}((\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{-1/2} x) \quad (7)$$

increase for  $\lambda \in (v + 1/2, v + 3/2]$ .

On the otherhand [12, Section 4.7]  $(C_n^\lambda(x))' = 2\lambda C_{n-1}^{\lambda+1}(x)$  and then  $(C_{n+v}^{\lambda-v}(x))^{(v)} = c(n, \lambda, v) C_n^\lambda(x)$  with some nonzero constant  $c(n, \lambda, v)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_{n+v}^{\lambda-v}((\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{-1/2} x)]^{(v)} \\ &= c_1(n, \lambda, v) C_n^\lambda((\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{-1/2} x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Observe that  $C_{n+v}^{\lambda-v}((\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{-1/2} x)$  is an even (odd) polynomial in  $x$  if  $n+v$  is even (odd). Hence it follows from Corollary 2, (7) and (8) that the positive zeros of  $C_n^\lambda((\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{-1/2} x)$  increase with  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in (v + 1/2, v + 3/2]$ . This means that the products

$$(\lambda - v + (2(n+v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2))^{1/2} \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$$

are increasing functions of  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in (v + 1/2, v + 3/2]$ . Now the result follows from the fact that the inequality

$$\lambda - v + (2(n + v)^2 + 1)/(4n + 4v + 2) \geq \lambda + 1$$

is equivalent to the requirement that  $n \geq 1 + (v^2 + 3v + 3/2)^{1/2}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Note that  $(\lambda - 1/2)^{1/2} \zeta_{n,k}(\lambda)$  increases if and only if  $(\lambda - 1/2) \zeta_{n,k}^2(\lambda)$  increases. We prove that  $(\lambda - 1/2) \zeta_{2n,k}^2(\lambda)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n/2$ , are increasing function of  $\lambda$  on  $[1/2, \infty)$ . The latter products are the zeros of

$$s_n^\lambda(x) := g_n^\lambda(x/(\lambda - 1/2)).$$

It is easily seen that  $\{s_n^\lambda\}$  are orthogonal on  $(0, \lambda - 1/2)$  with respect to the weight function  $\omega_e(x; \lambda) := x^{-1/2}(\lambda - 1/2 - x)^{\lambda - 1/2}$ . Let  $1/2 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  and  $\{s_n\}$  be the polynomials orthogonal on  $(0, \lambda_1 - 1/2)$  with respect to the restriction of  $\omega_e(x; \lambda_2)$  to  $(0, \lambda_1 - 1/2)$ . Denote by  $\xi_k(\lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\xi_k$  the  $k$ th zero of  $s_n^{\lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $s_n$ , respectively. It must be proved that  $\xi_k(\lambda_1) < \xi_k(\lambda_2)$ . In order to this we prove that  $\xi_k(\lambda_1) < \xi_k$  and  $\xi_k < \xi_k(\lambda_2)$ . The first inequality follows immediately from Markov's theorem [12, Theorem 6.12.1] and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln \omega_e(x; \lambda) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} [(\lambda - 1/2) \ln(\lambda - 1/2 - x)] \\ &= \ln(\lambda - 1/2 - x) + (\lambda - 1/2)/(\lambda - 1/2 - x) \end{aligned}$$

is an increasing function of  $x$  for  $x \in (0, \lambda)$ . The inequality  $\xi_k < \xi_k(\lambda_2)$  is a consequence of Lemma 2.

The proof that  $(\lambda - 1/2) \zeta_{n,k}^2(\lambda)$  increases as  $\lambda$  increases for odd  $n$  is similar.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* First we consider the case of even  $n$ . Taking into account the notes in the proof of Theorem 2 we have to prove that the largest zero of  $G_n^\lambda(x) := g_n^\lambda(x/(\lambda + 1))$  increases with  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$ . It follows from (3) that  $\{G_n^\lambda\}$  are parameteric birth and death process polynomial defined by

$$G_0^\lambda(x) = 1,$$

$$G_1^\lambda(x) = 1 - 2x,$$

$$-xG_n^\lambda(x) = B_n(\lambda) G_{n+1}^\lambda(x) - (B_n(\lambda) + D_n(\lambda)) G_n^\lambda(x) + D_n(\lambda) G_{n-1}^\lambda(x),$$



where the birth and death rates are

$$B_n(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1) \frac{(2n + 1)(n + \lambda)}{2(2n + \lambda)(2n + \lambda + 1)},$$

$$D_n(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1) \frac{n(2n + 2\lambda - 1)}{2(2n + \lambda)(2n + \lambda - 1)}.$$

Recall that by the Perron–Frobenius theorem (see [13], used in [3, 4]) the increase of birth rates and death rates yield the increase of the largest zero of  $G_n^\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + 1/2)^{-1} (2n + \lambda)^2 (2n + \lambda + 1)^2 B'_n(\lambda) \\ &= (n + 2\lambda + 1)(2n + \lambda)(2n + \lambda + 1) - (\lambda + 1)(n + \lambda)(4n + 2\lambda + 1) \\ &= n(3\lambda^2 + 2(4n + 1)\lambda + 4n^2 + 2n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B'_n(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . The expression in the brackets can be rewritten in the form  $4n^2 + 2(4\lambda + 1)n + 3\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 1$ . Its discriminant is equal to  $4\lambda^2 - 3$ . Therefore the expression is positive for  $|\lambda| < \sqrt{3}/2$ . Thus  $B'_n(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n^{-1}(2n + \lambda)^2 (2n + \lambda - 1)^2 D'_n(\lambda) \\ &= (2n + \lambda)(2n + \lambda - 1)(2n + 4\lambda + 1) - (\lambda + 1)(2n + 2\lambda - 1)(4n + 2\lambda - 1) \\ &= (2n - 1)(3\lambda^2 + 2(4n - 1)\lambda + 4n^2 - 2n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $D'_n(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . The discriminant of the expression in the brackets,  $4n^2 + 2(4\lambda - 1)n + 3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$ , is again equal to  $4\lambda^2 - 3$  and  $D'_n(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$ .

When  $n$  is odd we have to prove that the largest zero of  $H_n^\lambda(x) := h_n^\lambda(x/(\lambda + 2))$  increases with  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$ .  $H_n^\lambda$  are birth and death process polynomials with birth rates and death rates defined by

$$B_n(\lambda) = (\lambda + 2) \frac{(2n + 3)(n + \lambda + 1)}{2(2n + \lambda + 1)(2n + \lambda + 2)},$$

$$D_n(\lambda) = (\lambda + 2) \frac{n(2n + 2\lambda - 1)}{2(2n + \lambda)(2n + \lambda + 1)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + 3/2)^{-1} (2n + \lambda + 1)^2 (2n + \lambda + 2)^2 B'_n(\lambda) \\ &= n(3(\lambda + 1)^2 + 2(4n + 1)(\lambda + 1) + 4n^2 + 2n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

then  $B'_n(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda > -1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n^{-1}(2n + \lambda)^2 (2n + \lambda - 1)^2 D'_n(\lambda) \\ &= (6n - 1) \lambda^2 + 4(4n^2 + 1) \lambda + 8n^3 + 10n + 2 =: r(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The binomial  $r$  attains minimal value for  $\lambda_0 < -1/2$  and is positive for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . One easily gets  $r(-1/2) = 2n(4n^2 - 2n + 5) + (6n - 1)/4 > 0$  for  $n > 0$ . Thus  $r(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda > -1/2$ . Therefore  $D_n(\lambda)$  increases with  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ .

*Note added in proof.* Part (i) of Theorem 3 was proved in a different way in [14].

## REFERENCES

1. S. AHMED, M. E. MULDOON, AND R. SPIGLER, Inequalities and numerical bound for zeros of ultraspherical polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17** (1986), 1000–1007.
2. A. ERDELYI ET AL., “Higher Transcendental Functions, I”, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1953.
3. M. E. H. ISMAIL, The variation of zeros of certain orthogonal polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **8** (1987), 111–118.
4. M. E. H. ISMAIL, Monotonicity of zeros of orthogonal polynomials, in “ $q$ -Series and Partitions” (D. Stanton, Ed.), pp. 177–190, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
5. M. E. H. ISMAIL AND J. LETESSIER, Monotonicity of zeros of ultraspherical polynomials, in “Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications” (M. Alfaro, J. S. Dehesa, F. J. Marcellan, J. L. Rubio de Francia, and J. Vinuesa, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1329, pp. 329–330, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
6. S. KARLIN AND J. L. MCGREGOR, The differential equations of birth-and-death processes, and the Stieltjes moment problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 489–546.
7. A. LAFORGIA, A monotonic property for the zeros of ultraspherical polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), 757–758.
8. V. MARKOV, “On Functions Least Deviated from Zero on a Given Interval,” St. Petersburg, 1892 [in Russian]; “Über Polynome die in einen gegebenen Intervalle möglichst wenig von Null abweichen” (W. Markoff, transl.), *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 213–258. [German transl.]
9. T. J. RIVLIN, “Chebyshev Polynomials: From Approximation Theory to Algebra and Number Theory,” 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1990.
10. R. SPIGLER, On the monotonic variation of the zeros of ultraspherical polynomials with parameter, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **27** (1984), 472–477.
11. T. J. STIELTJES, Sur les racines de l'equation  $X_n = 0$ , *Acta Math.* **9** (1886), 385–400.
12. G. SZEGÖ, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” 4th ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Vol. 23, Providence, RI, 1975.
13. R. VARGA, “Matrix Iterative Analysis,” Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New York, 1962.
14. E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, Differential inequalities on the greatest zero of Laguerre and ultraspherical polynomials, in “Actas del VI Simposium on Polinomios Ortogonales Y Aplicaciones, Gijon (1989),” pp. 187–197.